STEREOSELECTIVE SYNTHESIS OF DI- and TRISUBSTITUTED ALKENYLSTANNANES AND 2-(TRIMETHYLSTANNYL)-1,3-BUTADIENES. CONVERSION OF THE TRISUBSTITUTED ALKENYLSTANNANES TO THE TETRASUBSTITUTED ALKENES

Kung K. Wang*, Kai-Hsuan Chu, Yuan Lin, and Jyun-Hung Chen Department of Chemistry, West Virginia University Morgantown, West Virginia, U.S.A. 26506 *(Received in Japan 6 October* **1988)**

Summary

Di- and trisubstituted alkenylstannanes were synthesized by selective conversion of the dialkylboryl moiety of 2 **to** the alkenylcopper followed by treatment with methanol or alkyl halides. By starting from conjugated terminal enynes to produce 3, 2-(trimethylstannyl)-1,3-butadienes were similarly synthesized. The readily available trisubstituted alkenylstannanes are useful precursors for the synthesis of stereochemically defined tetrasubstituted alkenes.

INTRODUCTION

Alkenylstannanes have been utilized for a variety of synthetic applications.1 The palladium-catalyzed coupling reaction of vinyl triflates and vinyl halides with alkenylstannanes and related reactions have been intensively investigated.2 The facile transmetalation reaction between alkenylstannane and alkyllithium remains as one of the most direct routes to certain alkenyllithium reagents.3 Treatment with iodine affords the corresponding vinyl iodide with retention of configuration of the vinyl group.4 The versatility of these reactions has stimulated an intense interest in the development of new synthetic methodologies for alkenylstannanes.

Although the hydrostannation reaction of alkynes provides a simple route to alkenylstannanes, it is generally not stereoselective.⁵ The addition reaction of (trialkylstannyl)copper and related reagents to 1-alkynes and α , β -acetylenic esters and amides has become the focus of attention in recent years.6 This interest is **due** in part to the fact that the reaction exhibits high regio- and stereoselectivity. Vinyl triflates and vinyl iodides have also been converted to alkenylstannanes by the reaction with Me₃SnMgMe in the presence of CuCN catalyst.6b

Another approach to alkenylstannanes involves the use of organoborate chemistry. It has been reported that the intramolecular transfer reaction of lithium 1-alkynyltrialkylborate 1 induced by trimethyltin chloride is highly stereoselective with the resultant dialkylboryl-substituted alkenylstannane 2 having the migrating alkyl group trans to the trialkyltin group (eq 1).7 The synthetic potential of the alkenyl intermediate 2 constructed

$$
R_3B \xrightarrow{Li-C\equiv C-R^1} Li^{'} \left[R_3\overline{B}-C\equiv C-R^1\right] \xrightarrow{R_3^2 SnCl} R_2B \xrightarrow{R} S_1R_3^2 + LiCl \qquad (1)
$$

with both boron and tin appendages has been recognized and several interesting applications have been discovered. ⁸ The possibility of independently applying a variety of reactions of alkenylborane and alkenylstannane to this bifunctional adduct provides many opportunities for subsequent synthetic elaborations. We recently reported the use of 2 for the regio- and stereoselective synthesis of trisubstituted alkenylstannanes;⁹ we now disclose the full account of that effort.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Di- and Trlsubstituted Alkenylstannanes

It has been shown that both alkenylboranes and alkenylstannanes can be converted to alkenylcopper derivatives by first treating with an alkyllithium reagent followed by adding the resultant solution to a coper(I) species. $10, 11$ We found that the dialkylboryl moiety of 3 could be selectively reacted with n-butyllithium without interference from the adjacent trimethylstannyl group. Apparently the empty p-orbital of the boron atom makes It the preferred target. The resultant alkenylcopper derivative was then treated with ally1 bromide, methyl iodide, or 2,3-dibromopropene to form the trisubstituted alkenylstannane 4 or was protonated with methanol to form the corresponding disubstituted alkenylstannane (Scheme I).

Scheme I

Table I. Synthesis of Di- and Trisubstituted Alkenylstannanes

 $\frac{a}{b}$ R²X = allyl bromide, methyl iodide, or 2,3-dibromopropene. **b** The number in parentheses is the ratio of 4:5.

The trisubstituted alkenylstannanes summarized in Table I were found to contain only one stereoisomer (>98%) as indicated by the ¹H (270 MHz) and ¹³C (67.9 MHz) NMR spectra. Protonolysis of 4b with 4 N hydrochloric acid produced (Z)-3-methyl-3-decene. We have also synthesized (E) -3-methyl-3-decene by Normant's procedure¹² and have compared the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of these two isomers. The \underline{Z} isomer was free from contamination of the \underline{E} isomer. The reaction sequence outlined in Scheme I thus provides a highly reglo- and stereoselective pathway to the trisubstituted alkenylstannanes. Although the disubstituted species can be synthesized by a variety of methods, a general route to the trisubstituted adducts from simple nonconjugated alkynes has not been reported previously.l3

Attempts to selectively protonate the dialkylboryl moiety of 3 to form the corresponding disubstituted alkenylstannane with methanol, acetic acid, or 2,4-pentanedione were unsuccessful. However, it was reported that an alkenylcopper is susceptible to attack by

Substituted alkenylstannanes 1107

methanol and could be selectively protonated in the presence of an alkenylstannane moiety.^{6d} Indeed, when the dialkylboryl moiety of 2 was first converted to alkenylcopper followed by treatment with methanol, the corresponding disubstituted alkenylstannane was obtained (Table I). Surprisingly, unlike the trisubstituted cases, a substantial amount of the regioisomer 5 was also produced. The assignments of the geometry to the major and the minor isomers were

based on the fact that the coupling constants between the vinyl protons and $^{117}Sn/^{119}Sn$ are about 80 Hz, indicating a <u>cis</u> H-Sn relationship,4 and the formation of (<u>Z</u>)-3-decene exclusive when the mixture of 4g and 5g was treated with acetic acid.

The isomerization does not appear to occur because of heating during distillation, since treatment of the crude products without distillation with iodine produced alkenyl iodides also containing an equal amount of the minor isomers. One possible explanation is that alkenylcopper 6 derived from 3 is in equilibrium with 7 through the elimination of (trimethylstannyl)copper followed by addition (eq 2).6d,14 We observed that when $6a$ was generated at higher reaction

temperatures before ally1 bromide was introduced, a significant amount of 3-decyne was produced. Presumably in the trisubstituted cases, the equilibration process was repressed because of fast coupling reactions with alkyl halides and/or (trimethylstannyl)copper was destroyed by the presence of alkyl halides. It is known that (trimethylstannyl)coper is stable to methanol^{6d} and therefore the equilibration process could occur. We are currently investigating the cause of this isomerization and developing new reaction conditions to avoid this problem.

2-(Trimethylstanny1)-1,3-butadienes

It has been reported that 2-(tributylstannyl)-1,3-butadiene could be easily converted to 2 -lithio-1,3-butadiene by the transmetalation reaciton with n-butyllithium.¹⁵ Subsequent reactions with carbonyl compounds afforded a variety of Z-sutstituted 1,3-butadienes. The Diels-Alder reaction with activated dienophiles has also been investigated.¹⁶ The alkenylstannane functionality in the resultant cyclohexene adducts provides a useful handle for subsequent chemical transformations. However, only a few synthetic methodologies for the substituted 2-(trialkylstannyl)-1,3-butadienes have been reported.17 We envisioned that **Scheme I** could be easily adopted for the synthesis of 2-(trimethylstannyl)-1,3-butadienes by starting from conjugated terminal enynes.

Indeed, the reaction sequence outlined in Scheme I was found to be equally applicable to several conjugated terminal enynes (Table II). Only one isomer of the resulting dienes <u>8a-8d</u> could be detected by 'H(270 MHz) and ''C(67.9 MHz) NMR spectra even in the cases of $\underline{8b}$ and $\underline{8c}$ Triene $8e$ is a 4:1 mixture of the $(42, 62)$ and the $(42, 6E)$ isomers simply because the starting enyne is a 4:1 mixture of the \underline{z} and the \underline{e} isomers. The coupling constant between the vinyl

Table II. Synthesis of 2-(Trimethylstannyl)-1,3-butadienes.

enyne	R^2X	alkenylstannane	isolated yield, %
	Brے	8a SnMe ₃	68
	MeOH	$\underline{\mathbf{8b}}$	62
	\mathcal{L}^{Br}	SnMe ₃ н	65 8c
	MeOH	SnMe_3 $\mathsf{S}_n\mathsf{Me}_3$ H	$\underline{\text{8d}}$ 63
	$\binom{1}{C}$ H ₂) _s CH ₃ $\ll \sim$ ^{Br}	$\binom{1}{2}$ ₆ CH ₃ SnMe_3	65 $\underline{\text{8e}}$

proton and $117\text{Sn}/119\text{Sn}$ on the same double bond is around 78 Hz for both 8b and 8d, again indicating a <u>cis</u> H-Sn relationship. Protonolysis of the dialkylboryl-subst: alkenylstannane intermediates derived from tri-n-butylborane with formic acid afforded dienes 9. Typical cis coupling constants between the vinyl protons of the newly formed double bond were observed.

Tetrasubstituted Alkenes

Stereoselective synthesis of tetrasubstituted alkenes has been a challenging synthetic task.13,18 With the trisubstituted alkenylstannanes now readily available, several stereochemically defined tetrasubstituted alkenes have been easily synthesized. Alkenylstannane $\frac{4a}{3}$ was converted to alkene $\frac{12}{3}$ by the procedure outlined in Scheme II.

Scheme I I

 t -BuLi, -78 $^{\circ}$ to -50 $^{\circ}$ C S nMe₃ \overrightarrow{p} \overrightarrow{p} **rr\ / 4a 10 92%** $(\textsf{CH}_2)_{5}\textsf{CH}_3$ 1. CuBr \cdot SMe $\mathsf{CH}, \mathsf{)}, \mathsf{CH},$ **~(C",),CH, b HMPA/ P(OEt), Li 2. Mel, -5O"C to RT 11 12 74%**

Vinyllithium <u>ll</u> was obtained by treatment of <u>4a</u> with iodine followed by treating the isolat vinyl iodide 10 with 2.5 equiv of tert-butyllithium. Attempts to convert 4a to 11 directly by

transmetalation with n-butyllithium failed. This failure is perhaps not surprising, because other cis-alkenylstannanes have been found to be very unreactive toward n-butyllithium even at room temperature.¹⁹ Apparently, the tin-lithium exchange reaction with highly substituted alkenylstannanes is thermodynamically unfavorable. 3a Direct treatment of $\underline{11}$ with methyl iodid resulted in the foramtion of 10 again, presumably through a "reverse" lithium-iodine exchange. This problem was circumvented by first converting 11 to the corresponding alkenylcopper followed by coupling with methyl iodide to afford 12. The structural isomer, $(E)-3$ -methyl-4-(2-propenyl)-3-decene (14), was also obtained by first converting 4b to the corresponding vinyl iodide 13 (79%) followed by coupling with allyl bromide through alkenylcopper (61% yield). The 13 C NMR (67.9 MHz) spectra showed that <u>12</u> and <u>14</u> were free from the presence of each other.

Compounds similar to $\frac{4d}{ }$ have been utilized as donor-acceptor conjunctive reagents for the construction of carbocyclic structures.¹¹ We simply adopted the same strategy by first converting 4d to the corresponding alkenylcopper followed by treatment with 2-cyclohexenone. The conjugate addition reactton using a sterically hindered trisubstituted alkenylcopper proceeded smoothly to give 16 without difficulty. Subsequent treatment of 16 with KH led to **intramolecular alkylation** which produced a I-decalone derivative 17 having a tetrasubstituted exocyclic double bond (Scheme III).

Scheme III

Unlike the previous cases, 11 $\overline{17}$ contains only one isomer. The assignment of the \overline{cis} -fus structure to 17 is based on the fact that the signal at δ 2.92 of the ¹H NMR (270 MHz) spectrum attributed to H_a is a triplet of doublet with $J = 4.4$ Hz and 12.3 Hz, respectively. Similarly, the signal at δ 2.37 attributed to H_b is also a triplet of doublet with $J = 4.7$ Hz and 12.8 Hz, respectively. These results indicate that H_a is in a gauche relationship with both H_b and H_c and an anti relationship with ${\tt H_d}$, suggesting a $\underline{{\tt cis}}$ -fused structure for $\underline{{\tt J7}}$. The $\underline{{\tt trans}}$ -fus isomer 18 would have an anti relationship with both H_b and H_c and a gauche relationship with H_d .

Attempts to convert 17 to 18 by prolonged heating (48 h) at the reflux temperature of a NaOMe/MeOH solution resulted only in slow decomposition of 17 without detecting the trans-fused isomer 18 (eq 3).

This lack of isomerization is in sharp contrast with I-decalone derivative 19 which can be converted completely to the trans isomer 20 (eq 4).11 Examination of

molecular models shows that <u>18</u> suffers from a large nonbonded $A(1,3)$ steric interaction²⁰ between the allylic side chain and C(4). Apparently, this repulsion is severe enough to shift the equilibrium in favor of 17 which can avoid this $A(1,3)$ strain.

Functionalized terminal alkyne 23 has also been utilized For the synthesis of bicyclic compound 27 having a stereochemically defined tetrasubstituted exocyclic double bond (Scheme IV).

Scheme IV

Treatment of 22 with bromine in the presence of 20% pyridine followed by dehydrobromination of the resultant dibromide with potassium 3-aminopropylamide $(KAPA)^{21}$ produced 23. In a what is essentially a single operation, 23 was converted to 24 in 67% yield. Transformation of 24 to 25 was accomplished by treating the vinyllithium derived from 24 with formaldehyde followed by deketalization. Reaction of 25 with a mixture of dimethyl sulfide and N-chlorosuccinimide in methylene chloride 22 afforded allyl chloride 26. Cyclization of 26 by treatment with KH gave 27 as a mixture of the cis- and trans-fused isomers (isomer ratio = $3:2$).

In summary, we have demonstrated that dialkylboryl-substituted alkenylstannane 2 is a synthon of dianion 28 and the different reactivities of boron and tin functionalities can be independently exploited. This synthetic flexibility creates ways to pull various

functionalized fragments together and making it possible to transform them into a complex chemical structure.

EXPERIMENTAL

General procedures described in Chapter 9 of ref. 23 for the manipulation of organoborane and other organometallic reagnets were employed. All glassware, syringes, and needles were oven dried at 140⁰C for several hours. The glassware were assembled while hot and cooled under a stream of dry nitrogen. The ${}^{1}H$ (270 MHz) and ${}^{13}C$ (67.9 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL GX-270 NMR spectrometer with CDCl₃ as solvent and Me₄Si or CHCl₃ (¹H δ 7.26; ¹³C δ 77.02) as internal standard. The IR spectra were taken on a Beckman IR8 or a Perkin-Elmer 1310 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan 4500 GC/MS instrument, and the fragments containing ¹¹⁸Sn are indicated with an asterisk. Elemental analyses were performed by Galbrsith Laboratories, Inc. of Knoxville, TN.

Materials. Tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether were distilled from LiAlH₄ and stored under nitrogen. Triethylborane, Trimethyltin chloride, CuBr.SMe2, 2,3-dibromopropene, 1,3-diaminopropane, KH (35% in oil), and N-chlorosuccinimide were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Inc. and used directly without further purification. n-Butyllithium in hexane and tert-butyllithium in pentane were purchased from Alfa and used after the concentration was standardized. 5-Chloro-1-pentyne was obtained from Farchan Laboratories. 3-(Trimethylsilyl)propyne,²⁴ conjugated terminal enynes,²⁵ and 3-(2-propenyl)cyclohexanone²⁶ were synthesized according to the reported procedures.

Alkenylstannanes. The following procedure for the preparation of (Z)-4-ethyl-5-(trimethylstannyl)-1,4-undecadiene (4a) is representative. The intermediate 3a (10 mmol) was prepared as described previously.⁷ The reaction flask was cooled to -78^0C and charged with 4.10 mL of n-butyllithium (2.44 M in hexane, 10 mmol). After 15 min, the reaction mixture was transferred via cannula to a separate reaction flask containing 2.06 g (10 mmol) of CuBr.SMe₂ in 20 ml of THF maintained at -78° C. After an additional 1 h of stirring at -78° C, 2.60 mL of ally1 bromide (3.63 g, 30 mmol) was introduced.27 The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature. After an oxidative workup with 4 mL of 3 N NaOH and 4 mL of 30% H₂0₂, the organic layer was then washed with water, dried over MgS0₄, and concentrated. The residue was filtered through a short aluminum oxide (acid washed from Merck) column. Distillation on a short-path distilling head afforded 2.74 g (80% yield) of 4a as a colorless liquid: bp 66° C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1640, 1605, 1455, 1375, 1190, 990, 910, 760, 710 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.72 (1H, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.1 and 6.4 Hz), 5.02 (1H, dm, J = 17 Hz), 4.99 (1H, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 2.79 (2H, br d, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.22 (2H, t), 2.12 (2H, q), 1.28 (8H, br), 0.96 (3H, t), 0.89 (3H, t), 0.14 (9H, 8); 13C NMR 6 148.00, 139.45, 137.75, 115.51, 43.79, 34.24, 31.98, 30.97, 29.47, 23.39, 22.75, 14.10, 13.86, -7.63; MS, m/e 327* (M+-CH3), 189*, 163*, 149*, 133*, 109, 95. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₂Sn: C, 56.01; H, 9.40. Found: C, 56.15; H, 9.40.

 $(E)-3$ -Methyl-4-(trimethylstannyl)-3-decene (4b): bp 64 0C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1615, 1460, 1375, 1190, 760, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 2.14 (4H, m), 1.76 (3H, s), 1.27 (8H, br), 0.98 (3H, t), 0.88 (3H, t), 0.13 (9H, s); 13 C NMR 6 145.83, 136.79, 34.02, 31.97, 30.99, 29.42, 26.18, 25.04, 22.74, 14.10, 13.54, -7.98; MS, m/e 301* (M+-CH3), 163*, 153, 152, 149*, 97, 83. Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{30}Sn: C$, 53.03; H, 9.54. Found: C, 53.13, H, 9.33.

(<u>7</u>)-2-Bromo-4-ethyl-5-(trimethylstannyl)-l,4-undecadiene (<u>4c)</u>: bp 92⁰C (0.02 torr); IR (neat 1630, 1610, 1455, 1430, 1375, 1190, 1085, 880, 765, 705 cm-l; lH NMR 6 5.61 (1H. dt, J = 1.5 and 1.7 Hz), 5.47 (IH, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 3.16 (2H, br s), 2.24 (2H, br), 2.14 (2H, q, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.29 (8H, br), 0.97 (3H, t, J = 7.51 Hz), 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.16 (9H, s); ¹³C NMR 6 145.64, 143.48, 133.45, 117.22, 50.53, 34.33, 31.89, 30.56, 29.43, 23.58, 22.71, 14.09, 13.85, -7.66; MS, m/e 405* (M+-CH3), 227*, 197*, 177, 163*, 149, 133*, 121, 107, 93, 79.

(Z)-8-Chloro-4-ethyl-5-(trimethylstannyl)-l,4-octadiene (4d). To a 100-mL flask containing a solution of 1.03 g of 5-chloro-1-pentyne (10 mmol) in 20 mL of THF maintained at -78° C was

introduced 4.10 mL of a 2.44 M n-bucyllithium (10 mmol) in hexane. After stirring for 1s min. 10 mL of a 1.0 M solution of Et_3B in THF was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The remaining procedure was carried out as described for 44 to affor 2.51 g (75%) of $4d$ as a colorless liquid: bp 62°C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1640, 1605, 1435, 1305, 1190, 990, 910, 765, 650 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 5.71 (1H, ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.3, and 6.4 Hz), 5.03 (1H, dm, J = 17 Hz), 5.01 (1H, dm, J = 10 Hz), 3.51 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.80 (2H, dt, J = 6.4 and 1 Hz), 2.38 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz), 2.14 (2H, q, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.72 (2H, m), 0.97 (3H, t, J = 7.5 Hz), 0.16 (9H, s); 13C NMR 6 149.85, 137.38, 137.27, 115.76, 44.63, 43.67, 33.67, 31.36, 23.45, 13.76, -7.61; MS, mie 319* (M+-CH3), 283*, 2SS*, 183*, 163*, 149*, 135, 107, 93, 79. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₅ClSn: C, 46.54; H, 7.51. Found: C, 46.73; H, 7.70.

 $(2)-2$ -Ethyl-l-(trimethylstannyl)-l-phenyl-l,4-pentadiene (4e): bp 50°C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1640, 1610, 1485, 1465, 1430, 1370, 1185, 1060, 1030, 990, 910, 765, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 7.25 $(2H, t, J = 7.4 Hz)$, 7.08 (IH, tt, J = 7.4 and 1.3 Hz), 6.86 (2H, dd, J = 7.1 and 1.3 Hz), 5.84 (IH, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.1, and 6.2 Hz), 5.13 (IH, dm, J = 17 Hz), 5.07 (IH, dm, J = 10 Hz), 2.95 (2H, dt, $J = 6.4$ and 1.5 Hz), 1.96 (2H, q, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 0.87 (3H, t, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 0.04 (9H, s); 13C NMR 6 150.08, 146.06, 142.16, 137.24, 128.02, 127.13, 124.54, 115.84, 41.97, 25.40, 13.66. -7.75 ; MS, m/e 319* (M⁺-CH₃), 189*, 171, 163*, 149*, 143, 129, 91. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄Sn: C, 57.36; H, 7.22. Pound: C, 57.14; H, 7.31.

 $(2)-4-Et$ hyl-6-(trimethylsilyl)-5-(trimethylstannyl)-1,4-hexadiene (4f): bp 50°C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1640, 1595, 1410, 1245, 1185, 1155, 990, 910, 850, 760, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.70 (iH, ddt, $J = 17.0$, 9.9, and 6.6 Hz), 5.04 (lH, dm, $J = 17$ Hz), 4.99 (lH, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 2.79 (2H, d, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.06 (2H, q, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 1.82 (2H, s), 0.94 (3H, t, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 0.15 (9H, s, $2J_{\text{snH}} = 51.8 \text{ Hz}$, -0.004 (9H, s); 13 C NMR δ 145.29, 138.15, 134.19, 115.30, 43,47, 24.56, 23.40, 12.70, -0.67, -7.08; MS, m/e 329* (M⁺-CH₃), 163*, 107, 73. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₃₀SiSn: C, 48.72; H, 8.76. Found: C, 48.51; H, 8.83.

(\underline{E})-4-(Trimethylstannyl)-3-decene ($\underline{4g}$): bp 46⁰C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1610, 1460, 1375, 1185, 760, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 5.53 (1H, tt, J = 6.8 and 1.3 Hz, $3J_{\text{snH}}$ = 83 Hz), 2.27 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.12 (2H, quintet, $J = 7$ Hz), 1.28 (8H, br), 0.97 (3H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 0.89 (3H, t, $J =$ 6.6 Hz), 0.09 (9H, s); 13 C NMR 6 143.59, 142.07, 32.63, 31.86, 30.36, 29.21, 22.71, 21.61, 14.39, 14.12, -9.27; MS, $m/e = 287* (M+-CH_3)$, 163*, 149*, 138, 97. The ¹³C NMR and GC-MS spectra of 4g and the 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra of the corresponding alkenyl iodide indicate the presence of about 15% 5g.

 (E) -7-Chloro-4-(trimethylstannyl)-3-heptene $(4h)$: bp $46^{0}C$ (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1610, 1455, 1305, 1185, 765, 650 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.60 (1H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, $3J_{\text{SnH}} = 78.4$ Hz), 3.49 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.42 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 2.14 (2H, quintet, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 1.79 (2H, quintet, $J = 7$ Hz), 0.98 (3H, t, J = 7.5 Hz), 0.11 (9H, s); ¹³C NMR 6 143.84, 141.30, 44.54, 33.07, 29.74, 21.71, 14.32, -9.33; MS, m/e 279* $(M⁺-CH₃)$, 243*, 183*, 163*, 149*, 95, 81. The ¹H, ¹³C, and GC-MS spectra of $4h$ and the corresponding alkenyl iodide indicate the presence of about 12% $5h$.

(E)-1-(Trimethylsilyl)-2-(trimethylstannyl)-2-pentene (41) : bp 36⁰C (0.04 torr); IR (neat) 1600, 1410, 1300, 1250, 850, 755, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.37 (1H, tt, $J = 6.4$ and 1.3 Hz, $3J_{SnH} =$ 80.1 Hz), 2.05 (2H, quintet, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.81 (3H, s), 0.97 (3H, t, J = 7.5 Hz), 0.10 (9H, s, Me3Sn), 0.01 (9H, s, Me3S1); ¹³C NMR δ 140.36, 139.24, 24.22, 22.08, 14.11, -0.81, -8.81; MS, m/e 304* (M⁺), 289*, 163*, 149*, 141, 133*, 125, 73. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₂₆SiSn: C, 43.31; H, 8.59. Found: C, 43.77; H, 8.74. The $+$ H and $+$ C NMR spectra of $\frac{41}{11}$ and the corresponding set of $\frac{41}{11}$ and the corresponding set of $\frac{41}{11}$ and the corresponding set of $\frac{41}{11}$ and the correspondin alkenyl iodide indicate the presence of about 5% 51 .

 (\underline{z}) -l-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-2-ethyl-l-(trimethylstannyl)-1,4-pentadiene (8a): bp 64⁰C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1640, 1600, 1430, 990, 910, 760 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.75 (1H, ddt, J = 16.8, 10.0 and 6.5 *Hz*), 5.11 (1H, br), 5.05 (1H, dd, J = 17 and 1.6 Hz), 5.00 (1H, dd, J = 10 and 1.6 Hz), 2.79 $(2H, d, J = 6.4 Hz)$, 2.08 $(2H, q, J = 7.5 Hz)$, 2.04 $(2H, br)$, 1.82 $(2H, br)$, 1.58 $(4H, m)$, 0.94 (3H, t, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 0.12 (9H, s); ¹³C NMR δ 147.60, 143.90 141.47, 137.60, 119.57, 115.45, 41.64, 30.07. 25.17, 24.09, 23.05, 22.53, 14.29, -7.71; MS, m/e 338* (W), 323*, 189*, 175, 174, 165*, 133*, 91. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{26}Sn: C$, 56.67; H, 8.32. Found: C, 56.52; H, 8.30.

(E)-l-(l-Cyclohexenyl)-l-(trimethylstannyl)-l-butene (8b): bp 48⁰C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1600, 1440, 1185, 915, 760, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 5.45 (1H, t, J = 6.9 Hz, ³J_{Sn-H} = 78 Hz), 5.15 $(1\text{H}, \text{m})$, 2.10 $(2\text{H}, \text{quintet}, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz})$, 2.05 $(2\text{H}, \text{m})$, 1.87 $(2\text{H}, \text{br})$, 1.59 $(4\text{H}, \text{br})$, 0.95 $(3\text{H}, \text{br})$ t, J = 7.5 Hz), 0.08 (9H, s); ¹³C NMR 6 146.73, 140.90, 140.13, 119.70, 29.83, 25.24, 23.31, 23.13, 22.55, 14.80, -9.29; MS, m/e 298* fM+), 283*, 163*, 149*, 135, 133*, 107. 93.

 $(Z)-4-Ethyl-1,1-pentamethylene-3-(trimethylstanny1)-1,3,6-heptatriene (8c):$ bp 80⁰C (0.01 torr); IR 1640, 1600, 1440, 1230, 1180, 990, 910, 850, 760 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.76 (1H, ddt, J = 16.9, 10.1, and 6.4 Hz), 5.65 (1H, br s), 5.06 (1H, d, J = 16 Hz), 5.01 (1H, d, J = 10 Hz), 2.83 (2H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 2.12 (2H, br), 2.08 (2H, q, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.97 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 1.53 (6H, br), 0.90 (3H, t, J = 7.7 Hz). 0.13 (9H, s); ¹³C NMR δ 149.36, 137.49, 137.28, 137.04, 124.28 , 115.58 , 42.43 , 36.34 , 29.58 , 28.86 , 27.33 , 26.85 , 25.32 , 12.55 , -7.60 ; MS, $\mathfrak{m/e}$ $337*$ $(M⁺-CH₃)$, 189, 188, 163*, 133*, 107, 91, 79.

 $(E)-1$, l-Pentamethylene-3-(trimethylstannyl)-1,3-hexadiene $(8d)$: bp 52⁰C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1630, 1600, 1440, 1370, 1340, 1230, 1180, 1060, 1020, 840, 760, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 5.63 (1H, br), 5.54 (IH, t, J = 6.7 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H}$ = 78 Hz), 2.12 (2H, br), 2.08-1.95 (4H, m), 1.54 (6H, br), 0.94 (3H, t, J = 7.5 Hz), 0.09 (9H, s); ¹³C NMR 6 142.60, 140.39, 137.90, 122.36, 36.64, 29.67, 28.99, 27.56, 26.86, 23.62, 13.68, -9.47; MS, m/e 312* (M⁺), 297*, 163*, 149, 133*, 107, 93.

 $(42, 62)$ - and $(42, 6E)$ -4-Ethyl-5-(trimethylstannyl)-l,4,6-tetradecatriene $(8e)$: bp 82⁰C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1630, 1595, 1450, 1370, 1185, 990, 960, 910, 760 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (4Z, 6Z) δ 5.93 (iH, d, J = 11.0 Hz), 5.75 (1H, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.1, and 6.4 Hz), 5.25 (lH, dt, J = 11.0 Hz), 5.07 (1H, dm, J = 17 Hz), 5.03 (1H, dm, J = 10 Hz), 2.84 (2H, dm, J = 6.4 Hz), 2.09 (2H, q, J $= 7.4$ Hz), 1.88 (2H, q, J = 5.9 Hz), 1.26 (10 H, br), 0.91 (3H, t), 0.88 (3H, t), 0.14 (9H, s); ¹³C NMR (<u>4Z, 6Z</u>) & 149.21, 137.35, 131.84, 127.71, 115.73, 42.41, 31.88, 29.56, 29.48, 29.26 28.58, 25.41, 22.69, 14.10, 12.53, -7.58; *MS, de* 367* W+-CR3), 219. 218. 189", 163*, 133*, 121, 107. The ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra showed the presence of the (42 , $6E$) isomer.

 $(2)-1-(1-Cyc10hexeny1)-1-hexene (9a):$ bp 40⁰C (0.1 torr) ; IR (neat) 1640, 1445, 910, 840, 790, 720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 5.73 (1H, d, J = 11.7 Hz), 5.61 (1H, br s), 5.26 (1H, dt, J = 11.7 and 7.3 Hz), 2.23 (2H, q, $J = 7$ Hz), 2.13 (4H, br m), 1.6 (4H, br m), 1.35 (4H, br m), 0.90 (3H, t); ¹³C NMR 6 135.56, 131.65, 129.75, 127.03, 32.64, 29.13, 28.69, 25.66, 23.01, 22.43, 22.23, 14.00; MS, m/e 164 CM+), 149, 135, 121, 107, 93, 79, 67, 55.

 $(2)-1, 1-P$ entamethylene-1,3-octadiene $(9b)$: bp 50^0C (0.05 torr); IR (neat) 1650, 1605, 1445, 1375, 1345, 1230, 980, 850, 735 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 6.22 (IH, t, J = 11 Hz), 6.02 (IH, d, J = 11.5 Hz), 5.33 (1H, dt, $J = 11$ and 7.5 Hz), 2.27 (2H, br), 2.16 (4H, br), 1.56 (6H, br), 1.36 (4H, br), 0.91 (3H, t); 13C NMR 6 143.00, 130.02, 123.80, 117.20, 37.66, 31.99, 28.98, 28.64, 27.72, 27.21, 26.89, 22.44, 14.00; MS, m/e 178 (M⁺), 163, 149, 135, 121, 107, 93, 79, 67, 55.

 $(52, 72)$ - and $(52, 7E)$ -Pentadecadiene $(9c)$: bp 64⁰C (0.02 torr); IR (neat) 1600, 1450, 1375, 975, 945, 715 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 6.25 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 5.45 (2H, q, J = 8.2 Hz), 2.17 (4H, br m), 1.28 (14 H, br), 0.89 (6H, m); ¹³C NMR 6 132.10, 132.03, 123.68, 123.64, 31.89, 29.71, 29.31, 29.23, 27.54, 27.22, 22.70, 22.38, 14.10, 13.96; MS, m/e 208 (M⁺), 165, 152, 123, 110, 95, 81, 67. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed the presence of the $(5Z, TE)$ isomer.

 (Z) -4-Ethyl-5-iodo-1.4-undecadiene (10). To a 50-mL round-bottomed flask containing 3.43 g (10) mmol) of 4a and 20 mL of diethyl ether was added dropwise 16.4 mL of an iodine solution (0.61 M in diethyl ether, 10 mol). After 1 h, an aqueous solution of 0.42 g NaF was introduced and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 10 min and then allowed to stand for 30 min. The organic layer was then separated, washed with water, dired over MgSO_u, and concentrated. Distillation on a short-path distilling heat afforded 2.82 g (92%) of 10 as a colorless liquid: bp 60⁰C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1640, 1625, 1450, 1430, 1375, 1185, 990, 910 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.75 (1H, ddt, $J = 17.0$, 10.1, and 6.4 Hz), 5.09 (1H, dm, $J = 17$ Hz), 5.06 (1H, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 3.02 $(2H, d, J = 6.4 Hz)$, 2.53 $(2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz)$, 2.20 $(2H, q, J = 7.5 Hz)$, 1.53 $(2H, m)$, 1.30 $(6H, br), 0.99 (3H, t), 0.89 (3H, t);$ $13C NMR \delta 142.85, 134.74, 115.95, 106.08, 46.61, 41.31,$ 31.74, 29.86, 28.28, 24.66, 22.62, 14.06, 13.25; MS, m/e 306 (M+), 179, 137, 123, 109, 95, 81.

 $(E)-4-Ethyl-5$ -methyl-1,4-undecadiene (12). To a 100-mL flask containing 0.61 g of 10 (2 mmol) in 20 mL of THF maintained at -78° C was introduced 2.65 mL of a 1.89 M tert-butyllithium in pentane. After stirring for 15 min. the reaction mixture was transferred via cannula to a separate flask containing 0.412 g (2 mmol) of CuBr \cdot SMe₂ in 20 mL of THF maintained at -50⁰C. After an additional hour of stirring at -50° C, 0.696 mL of HMPA (0.717 g, 4 mmol), 1.03 mL of triethyl phosphite (0.997 g, 6 mmol) and 0.38 mL of methyl iodide (0.85 g. 6 mmol) ware introduced successively. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. After the addition of a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl, the organic layer was separated, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. Distillation on a short-path distilling head afforded 0.286 g (74%) of 12 as a colorless liquid: bp 34^0C (0.01 torr); IR (neat) 1635, 1465, 1375, 1150, 990, 910, 790, 720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.75 (1H, ddt, J = 17.0, 9.9 and 6.4 Hz), 4.97 (1H, dm, $J = 17$ Hz), 4.94 (1H, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 2.76 (2H, d, $J = 6.2$ Hz), 2.01 (4H, m), 1.62 (3H, s), 1.29 (8H, br), 0.93 (3H, t), 0.89 (3H, t); ¹³C NMR δ 136.86, 131.52, 130.10, 114.17, 36.35, 34.24, 31.93, 29.54, 28.77, 24.99, 22.71, 18.03, 14.11, 13.52; MS, m/e 194 (M+), 179, 165, 151, 137, 123, 109, 95, 81.

(&)-4-Ioda-3-methyl-3-decene (13): bp 74OC (0.45 torr); IR (neat) 1635. 1445, 1380, 1310, 1260, 1210, 985. 800, 765, 725 cm-l; lH NMR 6 2.52 (2H, t, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.21 (2H. q, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.91 (3H, s), 1.51 (2H, m), 1.29 (6H, br), 1.00 (3H, t), 0.89 (3H, t); ¹³C NMR δ 141.34, 104.35, 41.22, 31.75, 29.81, 29.29, 28.28, 26.76, 22.63, 14.07, 13.04; MS, m/e 280 (M+). 111, 97, 83.

 (E) -3-Methyl-4-(2-propenyl)-3-decene (14) : bp 62⁰C (0.8 torr); IR (neat) 1635, 1460, 1375, 1065, 990, 910 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.74 (1H, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.1, and 6.2 Hz), 4.96 (1H, dm, J = 17 Hz), 4.94 (1H, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 2.75 (2H, d, $J = 6.2$ Hz), 2.04 (2H, q, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 1.62, (3H, s), 1.28 (8H, br), 0.96 (3H, t), 0.88 (3H, t); ¹³C NMR 6 136.86, 131.80, 129.83, 114.16, 36.86, 32.15, 31.92, 29.70, 29.12, 27.21, 22.73, 17.48, 14.11, 13.24; MS, m/e 194 **CM+),** 179, 165, 151, 137. 123, 109, 95, 81.

 $(2)-8$ -Chloro-4-ethyl-5-iodo-l,4-octadiene (15): bp 92⁰C (0.09 torr); IR (neat) 1640, 1620, 1435, 1375. 1310, 1290, 1270, 1190. 1180, 1035, 990, 910. 800, 780, 730, 650 cm-'; 'H NMR 6 5.74 (IH, ddt, $J = 17.0$, 10.4, and 6.4 Hz), 5.10 (IH, dm, $J = 17$ Hz), 5.07 (IH, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 3.54 (2H, t, J = 6.3 Hz), 3.03 (2H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 2.72 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.25 (2H, q, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.03 (2H, quintet), 1.01 (3H, t); 13C NMR 6 144.87. 134.39, 116.26, 103.17, 46.59, 43.58, 38.10, 32.62, 24.80, 13.25; MS, m/e 298 (M+), 268, 247, 171, 129, 119, 107, 92, 81.

 $3-[2]-1-(3-Chloropropy1)-2-ethyl-1,4-pentadieny1]cyclohexanone (16).$ To a 100-mL flask containing 1.50 g of 15 (5.0 mmol) in 40 mL of THF maintained at -78° C was introduced 4.28 mL of a 2.34 M tert-butyllithium (10.0 mmol) in pentane. After 15 min. the reaction mixture was transferred via cannula to a separate lOO-mL flask containing 1.11 g of anhydrous

MgBr₂ (6.0 mmol) and 3.09 g of CuBr. SMe₂ (15 mmol) in 10 mL of THF maintained at -78^{0} C. After stirring for 15 min, 0.576 g of 2-cyclohexenone (6 mmol) and 0.85 g of BF₃.0Et₂ (6.0 mmol) was successively introduced. After an additional 2 h of stirring at -780 C, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0^0C and then treated with a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The organic layer was then separated, washed with water, dried over MgSO_b, and concentrated. Column chromatogrphy (silica gel/19:1 hexane-ether) afforded 0.706 g (53%) of <u>16</u> as a colorles liquid: IR (neat) 1710, 1635, 1445, 1340, 1310, 1265, 1220, 1180, 1050, 1030, 990, 940, 910, 860, 765, 715, 640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 5.71 (1H, ddt, J = 17.8, 9.7, and 5.9 Hz), 4.97 (2H, m), 3.57 (2H, t, J = 6.4 Hz), 2.84 (1H, m), 2.77 (2H, t), 2.35-1.55 (12H, m), 0.98 (3H, t); ¹³C NMR 6 210.37, 135.63. 133.97, 133.24, 113.76, 45.69, 44.41, 40.93, 40.18, 34.04, 32.73, 29.10, 24.72, 24.50, 24.19, 12.09.

Compound 17. To a 250-mL flask was introduced a suspension of KH ($0.09 g$, 0.75 mmol) in mineral oil. The mineral oil was then removed by washing with hexane foflowed by the addition of 10 mL of THF and 0.081 g of 16 (0.30 mmol). The solution was stirred overnight and then treated with a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The organic layer was separated, washed with water, dried over MgS04, and concentrated. Column chromatography (silica gel/19:1 hexane-ether) afforded 0.065 g (93%) of 17 as a colorless liquid: IR (neat) 1705, 1635, 1445, 1255, 1220, 1185, 1030, 990, 910, 800 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.72 (1H, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.1, and 6.2 Hz), 4.98 (1H, dm, $J = 17$ Hz), 4.95 (1H, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 2.92 (Ha, dt, $J = 12.3$ and 4.4 Hz), 2.76 (2H, d, J = 6.1 Hz), 2.57-1.21 (15H, m), 0.92 (3H, t); ¹³C NMR δ 214.87, 136.87, 133.74, 131.07, 114.66, 54.21, 40.61, 37.84. 36.14, 26.99. 25.71, 25.57, 25.49, 25.32, 24.94, 13.63; MS, m/e 232 (M+), 203, 191, 173, 161, 150, 131, 119, 105, 91, 79.

3-(2-Propenyl)cyclohexanone 2,2-Dimethyltrimethylene Ketal (22). To a 250-mL flask containing 6.51 g of $\underline{21}$ (47.2 mmol) and 15.59 g of 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (150 mmol) were added 150 rn~ of benzene and 0.2 g of p-tolueoesulfonic *acid* monohydrate. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 14 h with azeotropic removal of water. After cooling to room temperature, benzene was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in 150 mL of diethyl ether. The solution was then washed with water, dried over MgSO_k, and concentrated. Distillation on a short-path distilling head afforded 8.80 g of 22 (83%) as a colorless liquid: bp 58⁰C (0.03 torr); IR (neat) 1630, 1460, 1440, 1390, 1365, 1280, 1270, 1245, 1205. 1185, 1155, 1110, 1080, 1030, 1010, 990, 960, 910, 835, 805, 790, 730, 650 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.73 (1H, ddt, J = 17.8, 10, and 7.2 Rx). 4.93 (III, dm, 3 = 17 8s). 4.94 (ill, dm, J = 10 Sal, 3.48 (2R, s), 3.43 (2H, a), 2.22 (2H, t, J = 10 Hz), 1.94 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.75-1.5 (3H, m), 1.39 (IH, tq, J = 3.5 and 13 Hz), 1.14 (1H, dt, J = 4 and 13 Hz), 0.94 (3H, s), 0.92 (2H, m), 0.90 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR δ 136.84, 115.73, 98.10, 69.85, 69.79, 41.22, 39.04, 33.73, 32.07, 31.89, 30.11, 22.76, 22.68, 21.87.

3-(2-Propyoyl)cyclobexanone 2,2-Dimethyltrimethylene Ketal (23). To a solution of 6.272 g of 22 (28 mmol), 0.468 g of pyridine (6 mmol) and 80 mL of $CCl₁$ in a 250-ml flask was added dropwise a solution of 4.808 g of bromine (30.1 mmol) in 30 mL of $CC1₄$. The mixture was then washed with a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate and water, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The crude dibromide (10.8 g) was obtained in quantitative yield and was used immediately for dehydrobromination without further purification. In a separate 500-mL flask was placed 3.930 g of KH (233 mmol) in mineral oif. The mineral oil was removed **by washing** with hexane. The flask was then kept under a nitrogen atmosphere at 0⁰C and 150 mL of 1,3-diaminopropane was introduced dropmiss via cannula. The reaction flask was flushed periodically with nitrogen until the evolution of hydrogen subsided in about 2 h. The crude dibromide in 40 mL of 1,3-diaminopropane was introduced to the 500-mL flask and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After stirring for 4 h, the mixture was transferred via cannula to a 500-mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL of a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl and 100 mL of diethyl ether maintained at 0^0 C. The organic layer was then separated, washed with water, dried over $MgSO_{4}$, and concentrated. Column chromatography (silica gel, 5% ether in hexane) of the residue afforded 3.516 g (56%) of <u>23</u> as a colorle liquid: IR (neat) 2110, 1460, 1440, 1390. 1360, 1310, 1280, 1240, 1205, 1175, 1140. 1095, 1035, 1010, 995, 960, 920, 895, 835, 810, 790, 730, 620 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6 3.54 (1H, d AB), 3.53 (lH, d AB), 3.46 (2H, s), 2.36 (ill, dm, J = 13 Hz), 2.24 (lH, dm, J = 13 Hz), 2.13 (2H, dd, J = 2.6 and 6.2 Hz), 1.98 (1H, t, $J = 2.6$ Hz), 1.85-1.58 (3H, br m), 1.48 (1H, tq, $J = 3$ and 13 Hz), 1.25-1.0 (3H, m), 0.96 (6H, 8); 13C NMR 6 97.91, 82.61, 69.85, 69.77, 69.42, 37.89, 33.25, 32.18, 31.51, 30.07, 25.46, 22.70, 22.68, 21.61; MS, m/e 222 (M⁺), 207, 183, 137, 128, 97, 69.

3-((Z)-3-Ethyl-2-iodo-2,5-hexadienyl]cyclohexanone 2,2-Dimethyltrimethylene Ketal (24). Terminal alkyne 23 (2.130 g, 9.6 mmol) was converted to the corresponding trisubstituted alkenylstannane by utilizing the procedure outlined for $\underline{4a}$. The crude alkenylstannane was treated with 2.651 g of iodine (10.3 mmol) in 20 mL of diethyl ether. The organic solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 5% ether in hexane) to afford 2.708 g (67%) of 24 as a colorless liquid: $R_f = 0.5$; IR (neat) 1630, 1460, 1440, 1385. 1355, 1310, 1280, 1250, 1200, 1180, 1165, 1140, 1090, 1030, 1010, 985, 955, 940, 910, 835, 810, 785, 730 cm-'; lH NMR 6 5.77 (lH, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.1, and 6.4 Hz), 5.12 (1H. dm, $J = 17$ Hz), 5.07 (1H, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 3.58 (1H, d, $J = 11.4$ Hz), 3.56 (1H, d, $J = 11.5$ Hz), 3.44 (1H, d, J = 11 Hz), 3.41 (1H, d, J = 10 Hz), 3.06 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 2.5-1.0 (13 H, m), 1.03 (3H, s), 0.99 (3H, t), 0.89 (3H, 8); 13C NMR 6 144.19, 134.70, 115.95, 104.21, 97.94, 69.95, 69.86, 47.17, 46.57, 36.11, 34.46, 34.06, 31.73, 30.13, 25.16, 22.99, 22.63, 21.96, 13.04; MS. m/e 291 (M+-I), 183. 97, 79, 69.

3-[(~)-3-Ethyl-2-hydroxymethyl-2,5-hexadienyllcyclohexanone (25). To a 5O-mL, three-necked flask equipped with a low temperature thermometer, a magnetic stirring bar, and a gas inlet were successively added by syringes 0.882 g of $\underline{24}$ (2.1 mmol) and 20 mL of THF. The reactio flask was cooled to -78° C and 2.0 mL of a 2.65 M tert-butyllithium (5.3 mmol) in pentane was introduced. After 30 min of stirring, the reactin mixture was allowed to warm to -40^0C and then treated with formaldehyde. The monomeric formaldehyde was generated in a separate flask by thermal depolymerization (180⁰C, bath temperature) of 1.439 g (48 mmol) of anhydrous paraformaldehyde and was swept into the reaction vessel in a stream of dry nitrogen nitrogen. The reaction mixture was then treated with 10 mL of a saturated aqueous solution of $NH₄Cl$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer wee extracted with diethyl ether (2x20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The residue and 0.026 g of p-toluenesulfonic acid were then dissolved in 30 mL of acetone and the resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h. After the introduction of 60 mL of dlethyl ether, the solution was washed with water $(3x20 \text{ mL})$, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 20% diethyl ether in hexane) to afford 0.261 g (53%) of 25 as a colorless liquid: IR (neat) 3400, 1695, 1630, 1440, 1410, 1370, 1340, 1305, 1220, 1005, 990, 905, 865, 790, 750 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.80 (1H, ddt, J = 17.0, 10.2, and 6.2 Hz), 5.02 (lH, dm, J = 17 Hz), 5.00 (lH, dm, J = 10 Hz), 4.10 (lH, d AB, J = 12.1 Hz), 4.05 (1H. d AB, J = 12.1 Hz), 2.89 (2H, d, J = 6.1 Hz), 2.45-1.25 (13H, m), 0.97 (3H, t); ¹³C NMR 6 211.74, 139.01, 137.29, 131.64, 115.11, 61.85, 48.22, 41.41, 38.51. 37.06, 35.67, 31.59. 25.43, 25.41, 12.92; MS, m/e 218 (M+-Hzo), 195, 175, 161, 121, 107, 97. In addition to 25, 0.124 g of the hydrolyzed adduct, 3-[(E)-3-ethy1-2,5-hexadienyl]cyclohexanone (29%), was also isolated.

3-[(Z)-2-Chloromethyl-3-ethyl-2,5-hexadienyl]cyclohexanone (26). To a 50-mL flask containing 0.361 g of N-chlorosuccinimide (2.7 mmol) in 10 mL of anhydrous methylene chloride maintained at 0 ^OC was introduced 2.36 mL of a 1.16 M solution of dimethyl sulfide (2.67 mmol) in methylene chloride. The reaction mixture was then cooled to -20^0C , and 0.18 g of 25 (0.76 mmol) in 5 mL of methylene chloride was added. The resulting solution was then allowed to warm to room

temperature. After an addition 8 h of stirring, the mixture was poured into 20 mL of cold brine solution. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (2x20 mL). The combined organic layers ware washed with cold brine, dried over $MgSO_4$, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 10%) diethyl ether in hexane) to afford 0.149 g (77%) of 26 as a colorless liquid: IR (neat) 1700, 1625, 1440, 1340, 1310, 1250, 1220, 1180, 1090, 1050, 990, 950, 910, 865, 790, 750, 700, 650 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.78 (1H, ddt, J = 17.6, 9.7, and 6.2 Hz), 5.03 (1H, dm, J = 17 Hz), 5.02 (1H, dm, $J = 10$ Hz), 4.10 (1H, d AB, $J = 11.3$ Hz), 4.03 (1H, d AB, $J = 11.3$ Hz), 2.92 (2H, d, $J =$ 6.1 Hz), 2.45-1.3 (13 H, m), 0.97 (3H, t); 13C NMR 6 211.01, 142.49, 135.86, 128.23, 115.71, 48.13, 44.49, 41.36, 38.15, 37.18, 35.88, 31.54, 25.51, 25.34, 12.63; MS, m/e 218 (M+-HCl), 175, 121, 107, 97, 93, 79.

Compound 27. To a 50-mL flask containing 0.195 g of KH (4.86 mmol) was introduced a solution of 0.145 g of 26 (0.57 mmol) in 2 mL of THF. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h before the usual workup. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 10% diethyl ether in hexane) to afford a mixture of the cis - and trans-fused isomers (isomer ratio = 3:2) of 27 (0.098 g,77%) ss a colorless liquid: IR (neat) 1700, 1630, 1445, 1395, 1365, 1335. 1310, 1225, 1180, 1110, 1040, 990, 960, 910, 860, 790 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.73 (1H, m), 4.98 (2H, m), 2.8-1.5 (16H, m), 0.95 (3H, m); 13 C NMR (R_f = 0.43, major isomer) 6 213.25, 136.05, 133.58, 131.49, 114.78, 52.98, 42.55, 39.10, 37.22, 35.35, 31.35, 26.84, 26.31, 24.25, 12.44; 13C NMR (Rf = 0.33, minor isomer) 6 215.92, 136.30, 135.63, 128.64, 114.85, 46.65, 44.28, 37.70, 36.82, 34.71, 28.65, 25.87, 24.61, 23.74, 13.20; MS, m/e 218 (M+), 203, 177, 147, 131, 119, 105. 91, 79.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Professors Plato A. Magriotis and William R. Moore for helpful discussions. Financial support by the National Science Foundation and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, is gratefully acknowledged. The JEOL GX-270 NMR spectrometer used in this research was purchased by funds derived in part from an NSF grant (RI1 8011453).

NOTES AND REFERENCES

- 1. (a) Crisp, G. T.; Scott, W. J.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7500-7506. (b) Piers, E.; Priesen, R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3405-3406.
- 2. (a) Scott, W. J.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3033-3040.
	- (b) Goure, W. F.; Wright, M. E.; Davis, P. D.; Labadie, S. S.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1984, 106, 6417-6422.
- 3. (a) Seyferth, D.; Vaughan, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1964, 86, 883-890. (b) Corey, E. J.; Wollenberg, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2265-2266.
- 4. Baekelmans, P.; Gielen. M.; Malfroid, P.; Nasielski, J. Bull.Soc. Chim. Belg. 1968, 77, 85-97.
- 5. Negishi, E.-i. Organometallics in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1980; pp 410-412.
- 6. (a) Westimijze, H.; Ruitenberg, K.; Maijer, J.; Vermeer, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2797-2798.
	- (b) Matsubara, S.; Hibino, J.-i.; Morisawa. Y.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 285. 163-172.
	- (c) Piers, E.; Chong, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1602-1604.
	- (d) Piers, E.; Chong, J. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 934-935.
	- (e) Chenard, B. L.; Van Zyl, C. M.; Sanderson, D. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2801-2804.
- 7. Hooz, J.; Nortimer, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 805-808.
- 8. (a) Wrackmeyer, B. <u>Rev. Silicon, Germanium, Tin, Lead Compd.</u> 1982, 6, 75-148.
	- (b) Wrackmeyer, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 310, 151-160.
	- (c) Zweifel, G.; Backlund, S. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 156, 159-170.
	- (d) Wang, K. K.; Chu, K.-H. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 44, 5175-5178.
- 9. Wang, K. K.; Chu, K.-H. <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 1986, 51, 767-768.
- 10. (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Yatagai, Il.; Maruyama, **K.;** Sondoda, A.; Murahashi, S.-I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5652-5656.
	- (b) Campbell, J. B., Jr.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 549-550.
- 11. Piers, E.; Yeung, B. W. A. <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 1984, 49, 4567-4569.
- 12. Normant, J. F.; Cahiez, G.; Chuit, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 77, 269-279.
- 13. For a recent report of the synthesis of the trisubstituted alkenylstannanes from a, B-acetylenic esters, see Piers, E.; Skerlj, R. T. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4421-4423.
- 14. Cox, S. D.; Wudl, F. Organometallics 1983, <u>2</u>, 184-185.
- 15. Wada, E.; Kanemasa, S.; Fujiwara, I.; Tsuge, O. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1985, 58, 1942-1945.
- 16. Taddei, M.; Mann, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2913-2916.
- 17. (a) Taddei, M.; Nativi, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 347-350.
	- (b) Fleming, I.; Taddei, M. Synthesis 1985, 899-900.
	- (c) Wuff, W. D.; Peterson, G. A.; Bauta, W. E.; Chan, K.-S.; Faron, K. L.; Gilbertson, S. R.; Kaesler, R. W.; Yang, D.C.; Murray, C. K. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 277-279.
	- (d) Killing, H.; Mitchell, T. N. Organometallics 1984, 2, 1318-1320.
	- (e) Reich, H. J.; Yelm, K. E.; Reich, J. L. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3438-3440.
	- (f) Aufdermarsh, C. A., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 1994-1996.
- 18. (a) Corey, E. J.; Seibel, W. L. <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 1986, <u>2</u>7, 905-908, 909-910. (b) Negishi, E.-i.; Zhang, Y.; Cederbaum, F. E.; Webb, M. B. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51 4080-4082.
- 19. Collins, P. W.; Jung, C. J.; Gasiecki, A.; Rappo, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 3187-3190.
- 20. Johnson, F. Chem. Rev. 1968, 68, 375-413.
- 21. Brown, C. A. <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 1978, 43, 3083-3084.
- 22. Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; Tadeka, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 4339-4342.
- 23. Brown, H. C.; Kramer, G. W.; Levy, A. B.; Midland, M. M. Organic Synthesis via Boranes; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1975.
- 24. Slutsky, J.; Kwart, H. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1973, <u>95</u>, 8678-8685.
- 25. (a) Carlson, R. G.; Cox, W. W. <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 1977, 42, 2382-2386.
	- (b) Yamakado, Y.; Ishiguro, M.; Ikeda, N.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5568-5570.
- 26. Hosomi, A.; Sakurai, H. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1977, <u>99</u>, 1673-1675.
- 27. (a) In the case of methyl iodide, 2 equiv of HMPA and 3 equiv of triethyl phosphite were also introduced. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 18 h.
	- (b) In the case of $4c$, 1.1 equiv of 2,3-dibromopropene was utilized.
	- (c) In the cases of disubstituted alkenylstannanes, 5 mL of methanol was introduced. After an additional 3 h of stirring at -78^0C , the reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to 0^0C before oxidative workup.